

DAVID VITTER
1ST DISTRICT, LOUISIANA

david.vitter@mail.house.gov
www.house.gov/vitter

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

SUBCOMMITTEES ON
COMMERCE, JUSTICE, STATE AND JUDICIARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HOUSE REPUBLICAN POLICY
COMMITTEE

CHAIR, SUBCOMMITTEE ON
POLITICAL, EDUCATIONAL AND LEGAL REFORM

ORIGINAL

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

September 16, 2003

CC: 020/030
414 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-1801
(202) 225-3015
FAX (202) 225-0739
2800 VETERANS BOULEVARD
SUITE 201
METAIRIE, LA 70002
(504) 589-2753
FAX (504) 589-2607
300 EAST THOMAS STREET
HAMMOND, LA 70401
(985) 542-9616
(800) 851-3973
FAX (985) 542-9577

RECEIVED
03 SEP 23 PM 2:47
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

RE: Petition P3-03

Bryant L. VanBrakle
Secretary
Federal Maritime Commission
800 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, DC 20573

Dear Commissioners:

It is my understanding that UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. I write in strong support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform Act* (OSRA) revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCs were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.


However, the state of the U.S ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.

UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation infrastructure. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I am **hopeful** the FMC will give the UPS petition its' utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Vitter". The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping initial "D" and a prominent dot over the "i" in "Vitter".

David Vitter
Member of Congress